

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/797,513	03/10/2004	Brian S. 1	Higgins	1340-012	4226		
4678	7590 06/0	9/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MACCORD MASON PLLC 300 N. GREENE STREET, SUITE 1600				COCKS, JOSIAH C			
P. O. BOX 29		112 1000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
GREENSBO	RO, NC 27402			3749			
				DATE MAILED: 06/09/200	DATE MAILED: 06/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	
•	
,,	

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/797,513	HIGGINS, BRIAN S.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Josiah Cocks	3749		

	Josian Cocks	3773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	100(-) 146	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	. will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		,
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Co</li></ol>	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	··		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	<u> </u>	-	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	٧o(s) \	_
13. Other:		Jos	LCL
			HC.COCKS
		PRIMAI	RY EXAMINER

Application No. 10/797,513

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed to claim 1 to incorporate the limitation that the reducible acids are reduced "to a predetermined level" seeks to alter the scope of the claim in order to read over the prior art relied upon. This amendment would necessairly require further consideration and/or search and, accordingly, will not be entered..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In regard to claims 17-32 applicant argues that Kindig does not indicate acid dew point to be a parameter. However, the examiner notes that the disclosure of Kindig provides for the reduction of the undesirable sulfur oxides content of the combustion product stream of a combustion device. In order to preform this function a catalyst is provided to the combustion product stream to promote the formation of sulfur trioxdies such that when the temperature of the stream is low enough a sulfation reaction (i.e. magnesium sulfaction as an example proposed in Kindig) occurs that prompts ultimate reduction of the sulfur trioxides. As the acidity dew point is directly related to the amount of acids or sulfur trioxides in the combustion product stream, the reduction of this sulfur trioxides in the combustion product stream at low temperature provides for "adjusting the reducing environbment for a sufficient time period such that the flue gas acid dewpoint is loweed to a desirable level" in the same manner claimed by applicant. Accordingly, applicant's assertion to the contrary is not persuasive.